Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

| Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY | Operator ID#: 32513 |
|---|---------------------|
| Inspection Date(s): 10/1/2014, 10/2/2014 | Man Days: 2 |
| Inspection Unit: Peoria Persimmon St. | |
| Location of Audit: Pekin | |
| Exit Meeting Contact: John Sigler | |
| Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction | |
| Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Kevin Hecker | |
| Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller | |
| Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com | |

| CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| Location of Construction: | | Construction Performed By: | |
| Pekin | | Intercon Construction | |
| Contractor Foreman: | Jeff Jones | | |
| Operator Inspector: | | | |
| | Person(s) On Jo | ob Site | |
| Jeff Jones | James Mora | an Josh Bass | |
| Ron Schutt | Warren Curt | rtis Andy Smith | |
| Jimmy Williams | Chris Doughe | erty Rob Stewart | |
| - | - | - | |

Description of Construction:

The phase of the project observed for this audit includes a new 4 miles section of 16" welded steel transmission pipeline in the Pekin, IL area. The south end of the pipeline began at a take point just north of Keller Road (just east of Mennonite Church Road) running north on the easement of the existing Ameren "400" line, under highway 9 between Tremont and Pekin, and continues north through farm ground, up to Sheridan Road (just east of California Road) in Pekin.

| MAIN INSTALLATION | | Status |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------|
| [192.55] | Steel | Yes |
| [192.59] | PE | No |
| [192.59] | Other | No |
| [192.5] | Class location : | Class 1 |
| [192.619,192.621,192.623] | MAOP | 800 psig |

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

| [192.63] | Pipe Size : | 16" |
|--|--|--|
| [192.55,192.59] | Specification: | API 15L |
| [192.55,192.59] | Manufacturer : | TMK IPSCO |
| [192.55,192.59] | Pipe Grade : | X-52 |
| [192.55,192.59] | Wall Thickness : | .312" |
| [192.63(a)(2)] | Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification? | Satisfactory |
| [192.227] | Date of Welder qualification: | See below |
| General Comment: There were six welders observed during this audit. Andy Smith #3587 9/3/14 Warren Curtis #9098 8/22/14 Rob Stewart #0119 8/22/14 Chris Dougherty #9252 8/15/14 Jimmy Williams #5050 8/15/14 Ron Schutt #9847 7/2/15 | Below are their names, employee numbers and qualification dates: | |
| [192.227] | Welder's Name: | See above |
| [192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.2 | 83] Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures? | Satisfactory |
| vveigers were following Ameren's procedure #FNF- | 202 | |
| Welders were following Ameren's procedure #ENE-Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the Andy Smith, Jimmy Williams, Chris Dougherty and F | tack and root pass welds. | |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the | | Not Applicable |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the analysmith, Jimmy Williams, Chris Dougherty and F [192.285] General Comment: | tack and root pass welds. Robert Stewart were performing filler and cap pass welds. Date of Plastic Joining Qualification: | Not Applicable |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the a | tack and root pass welds. Robert Stewart were performing filler and cap pass welds. | Not Applicable Not Applicable |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the analysmith, Jimmy Williams, Chris Dougherty and F [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. | tack and root pass welds. Robert Stewart were performing filler and cap pass welds. Date of Plastic Joining Qualification: | |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the analy Smith, Jimmy Williams, Chris Dougherty and F [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. | tack and root pass welds. Robert Stewart were performing filler and cap pass welds. Date of Plastic Joining Qualification: | |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the analy Smith, Jimmy Williams, Chris Dougherty and F [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. [192.455] | tack and root pass welds. Robert Stewart were performing filler and cap pass welds. Date of Plastic Joining Qualification: Joiner's name: | Not Applicable |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the analysmith, Jimmy Williams, Chris Dougherty and F [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. [192.455] [192.461] | Is buried metallic pipe coated? | Not Applicable Satisfactory |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the analysmith, Jimmy Williams, Chris Dougherty and F [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. [192.455] [192.455] [192.455] | Is buried metallic pipe coated? Does coating meet 192.461? | Not Applicable Satisfactory Satisfactory |
| Ron Schutt and Warren Curtis were performing the analysmith, Jimmy Williams, Chris Dougherty and F. [192.285] General Comment: No plastic pipe was utilized during this audit. [192.285] General Comment: | Is buried metallic pipe coated? Does coating meet 192.461? Is cathodic protection being provided? | Not Applicable Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory |

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

| No pipe was placed in the ditch during this audit. | | |
|---|---|----------------|
| [192.467] | Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures? | Satisfactory |
| [192.479] | Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | · . | |
| No above ground facilities were installed during this | s audit. | |
| [192.303] | Are comprehensive written construction specifications available and adhered to? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed plans for the entire project with Jeff | Jones at the start of the audit. | |
| [192.305] | Are inspections performed by the operator to check adherence to the construction specifications? | Satisfactory |
| [192.307] | Is material being visually inspected at the site of installation to ensure against damage that could impair its serviceability? | Satisfactory |
| [192.319] | Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | · · | |
| No pipe was placed in the ditch during this audit. | | |
| [192.321(c)] | Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| No plastic pipe was observed as part of this constru | uction project. | |
| [192.321(e)] | Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| No plastic pipe was observed as part of this constru | uction project. | |
| [192.325] | Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | · · | |
| No pipe was placed in the ditch/buried during this a | nudit. | |
| [192.327] | Is required cover being obtained appropriate to type of pipeline and location? | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| No pipe was placed in the ditch/buried during this a | nudit. | |
| [192.503] | Are general testing requirements being met? | Satisfactory |
| [192.517] | Are records being made of strength and leak tests? | Not Checked |

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192.

If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

| General Comment: | | |
|---|---|----------------|
| | on the transmission pipeline. A section of 4" tie-in pipe as well as a fabricated riser was press e or recorded because there were minor leaks detected on 2 gauge fittings. More nitrogen wa ssure were installed. | |
| [192.807] | Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification? | Satisfactory |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff reviewed copies of qualifications for a | ll 6 welders, as well as 2 x-ray technicians from JANX. | |
| [192.805(c)] | Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| There were no non-qualified individuals per | forming covered tasks observed during this audit. | |
| [192.805(c)] | Were span of control limitations being followed? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | · | |
| There were no non-qualified individuals per | forming covered tasks observed during this audit. | |
| Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Comp | leted? | No |
| [192.614] | Were One Call Notifications performed as required? | Satisfactory |
| [192.614] | Dig Ticket # | Not Checked |
| General Comment: | | |
| Staff did not request dig tickets for this proj | ect. | |
| [192.751] | Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition? | Not Applicable |
| General Comment: | | |
| This pipeline was new construction, therefo | ore no gaseous atmosphere was present at any time during this audit. | |
| [192.719(b)] | Was each repair made by welding to a transmission line made in accordance with 192.713, 192.715, and 192.717 and examined in accordance with 192.241? | Satisfactory |
| | | |

and reviewed 4 example x-rays of defective welds and confirmed the repairs were completed by reviewing the "after" x-rays. In all 4 cases, there were

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/10-3-2014

indications of "wagon tracks" on the initial x-rays and were not present after being ground out and rewelded.

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192.

If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.